

From: Morling, Andy <Andy.Morling@mhra.gov.uk>

Sent: 11 March 2026 19:31

To: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@mhra.gov.uk)>

Cc: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@mhra.gov.uk)>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@mhra.gov.uk)>;

[REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@mhra.gov.uk)>; [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@mhra.gov.uk)>; [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@mhra.gov.uk)>; FOI Live <foi.request@mhra.gov.uk>

Subject: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Redacted according
to Section 40, FOI Act

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] If I understand it correctly, [REDACTED] has alleged that he intentionally mislead an MHRA inspector in the course of an inspection, at the request of his employer. As [REDACTED] rightly suggests, we'd need a bit more than an uncorroborated allegation to justify a Reg 334 investigation. It is potentially evidence, but without something more objective, the CEU would not be minded to investigate towards prosecution. In the absence of anything more substantive, a proportionate response would be to record [REDACTED] allegation on the company's 'file' and take this into account at future inspections.

Redacted according
to Section 40, FOI Act

Can I just check, was this matter considered by the CEU and/or Compliance colleagues at the time the allegation was made?

Andy

From: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@mhra.gov.uk)>

Sent: 11 March 2026 15:59

To: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@mhra.gov.uk)>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@mhra.gov.uk)>;

[REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@mhra.gov.uk)>; Morling, Andy

<Andy.Morling@mhra.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@mhra.gov.uk)>; FOI Live

<foi.request@mhra.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@mhra.gov.uk)>

Subject: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Redacted according
to Section 40, FOI Act

Thanks, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] comment - On the allegation of inspector deception, I agree we should push back explicitly, although my view is slightly more nuanced than initially I

settled on. We have not been provided with any evidence to substantiate this claim, and checking my e-mails, we have only a couple of sentences from the complainant to say he was coached into lying to us. That is not material enough to justify a revisit, and being coached on inspection technique is common in industry. I would argue this is not actionable evidence, despite it being repeatedly referenced. I think it is appropriate to state clearly that no such verifiable evidence has been received, and that MHRA cannot investigate or comment further in the absence of supporting material. We may want to seek views from Andy however as to what is reasonable or not here.

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@mhra.gov.uk)>

Sent: 11 March 2026 11:26

To: Morling, Andy <Andy.Morling@mhra.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@mhra.gov.uk)>; [REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@mhra.gov.uk)>; [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] <[\[REDACTED\]@mhra.gov.uk](mailto:[REDACTED]@mhra.gov.uk)>

Subject: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Redacted according
to Section 40, FOI Act

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] comment - On the allegation of inspector deception, I agree we should push back explicitly, although my view is slightly more nuanced than initially I settled on. We have not been provided with any evidence to substantiate this claim, and checking my e-mails, we have only a couple of sentences from the complainant to say he was coached into lying to us. That is not material enough to justify a revisit, and being coached on inspection technique is common in industry. I would argue this is not actionable evidence, despite it being repeatedly referenced. I think it is appropriate to state clearly that no such verifiable evidence has been received, and that MHRA cannot investigate or comment further in the absence of supporting material. We may want to seek views from Andy however as to what is reasonable or not here.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [Redacted]

- [Redacted]

- [Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Out of Scope

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Out of Scope

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded Message -----

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[illegible]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]

Out of Scope

██████████

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Out of Scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]