



Medicines & Healthcare products
Regulatory Agency

10 South Colonnade
Canary Wharf
London
E14 4PU
United Kingdom
gov.uk/mhra

[REDACTED]

6 June 2025

MHRA reference: **FOI2025/00468**

Dear [REDACTED],

Thank you for your information request, which we received on 14 May 2025. You asked for:

“AstraZeneca have confirmed that Sipavibart/Kavigale is under assessment by the MHRA. Please can you provide details to:

- how far along the assessment process the department is*
- how many emails has MHRA received regarding sipavibart*
- when that process is due to conclude”*

We have dealt with your request under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA).

Unfortunately, we cannot provide information on whether there may or may not be an application in progress for any particular product. This means that for your enquiry about “Sipavibart/Kavigale” we need to refuse to confirm or deny whether we hold this information under Section 41(2) (S41 – information provided in confidence) and Section 43(3) (S43 – prejudice to commercial interests) of the FOIA.

We will explain these exemptions below.

Section 41 –

(2) The duty to confirm or deny does not arise if, or to the extent that, the confirmation or denial that would have to be given to comply with Section 1(1)(a) would (apart from this Act) constitute an actionable breach of confidence.

Section 43 –

(1) Information is exempt information if it constitutes a trade secret.



Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency

(2) Information is exempt information if its disclosure under this Act would, or would be likely to, prejudice the commercial interests of any person (including the public authority holding it).

(3) The duty to confirm or deny does not arise if, or to the extent that, compliance with Section 1(1)(a) would, or would be likely to, prejudice the interests mentioned in subsection (2).

Public interest test

Section 17(3) of the Act requires us to conduct a Public Interest Test (PIT) when considering the neither confirm nor deny provision of a qualified exemption. In applying this exemption, we are required to consider whether, in all the circumstances of the case, the public interest in neither confirming nor denying that the information is held outweighs the public interest in confirming or denying whether the MHRA holds the information you have requested. The 'public interest' is not the same as what interests the public. In carrying out a PIT, we consider the greater good or benefit to the community as a whole in saying whether information is held or not. The 'right to know' must be balanced against the need to enable effective procedural governance and to serve the best interests of the public. The FOI Act is 'applicant blind'. This means that we cannot, and do not, ask about the motives of anyone who asks for information. In providing a response to one person, we are expressing a willingness to provide the same response to anyone.

Considerations in favour of confirming whether or not we hold the information

To confirm or deny whether or not an application has been received by MHRA would be of interest to patient groups and healthcare professionals in knowing and understanding whether a relevant treatment could soon be available to patients. It would also be of benefit in general to show transparency in MHRA's day-to-day work for the public to see what applications are currently being considered by MHRA.

Considerations in favour of neither confirming nor denying whether we hold the information

To confirm or deny whether we are currently considering an application for a particular medicine would be of great interest to rival companies who are marketing or looking to market their own products. Knowledge of whether an application is being considered by MHRA can be used as market intelligence in order to gauge when a new product is likely to come onto the market so strategies can be employed to prevent that product getting a foothold in the market. Further, to confirm or deny that we may hold any information on applications that are not yet authorised in the UK can make companies reluctant or unwilling to submit applications for their products to the UK. This would result in fewer medicines being available for patients.

This concludes our response to your request.

If you have a query about this response, please contact us at
foi.request@mhra.gov.uk



Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency

Please remember to quote the reference number at the top of this letter in any future communications. Details of your appeal rights are below.

Yours sincerely,

Healthcare, Quality and Access Group

Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency

Appeal rights

If you are dissatisfied with the handling of your request, you can ask us to conduct an internal review. Internal review requests should be submitted within two months of the date you receive this response and addressed to: foi.request@mhra.gov.uk

If you remain dissatisfied with the outcome of the internal review, you have the right to apply directly to the Information Commissioner for a decision. Please bear in mind that the Information Commissioner will not normally review our handling of a request unless the requester has first asked us to conduct an internal review.

The Information Commissioner can be contacted through their online webform at: <https://ico.org.uk/make-a-complaint/foi-and-eir-complaints/foi-and-eir-complaints/>

Or in writing to: Information Commissioner's Office, Wycliffe House, Water Lane, Wilmslow, Cheshire, SK9 5AF